

GATESOL Conference Proposal Rubric 2020

This rubric will be used to score all proposals submitted for the 2020 conference.

Evaluation Criteria	Poor (1 point)	Fair (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)	Score
1. Aligned with conference theme, "Foundations for Achievement: Integrating Research, Evidence, and Practice"	The proposal does not mention the pedagogical, research, or evidence-based rationale for the presentation content in the presentation description through citations and terminology related to the content.	The proposal refers loosely to the pedagogical, research, or evidence-based rationale for the presentation content in the presentation description through citations and terminology related to the content.	The proposal incorporates the pedagogical, research, or evidence-based rationale for the presentation content in the presentation description through citations and terminology related to the content.	The proposal fully incorporates the pedagogical, research, or evidence-based rationale for the presentation content in the presentation description through citations and terminology related to the content.	
2. Appropriateness of topic to the field of TESOL	Topic is not current and lacks importance to the field of TESOL.	Topic is only somewhat current and important to the field of TESOL.	Topic is current and important to the field of TESOL.	Topic is cutting-edge and significant to the field of TESOL.	
3. Clarity of description for session content	The proposal does not provide a coherent description of the method and plan of action to achieve the goals and objectives of the session.	The proposal provides a somewhat coherent description of the method and plan of action to achieve the goals and objectives of the session.	The proposal provides a mostly coherent description of the method and plan of action to achieve the goals and objectives of the session.	The proposal clearly provides a coherent description of the method and plan of action to achieve the goals and objectives of the session.	
4. Participant outcomes and application	Description of participant outcomes and application is not provided.	The participant outcomes and application are unclear.	The participant outcomes and application are mostly clear.	The participant outcomes and application are clear and relevant.	
5. Cultural sensitivity	The description does not reflect culturally sensitive language and ideas.	The description reflects culturally sensitive language, but the ideas could be potentially insensitive.	Although the ideas convey cultural sensitivity, the description does not reflect culturally sensitive language.	The description reflects culturally sensitive language and ideas.	
6. Quality and clarity	The proposal is vague and/or poorly edited, suggesting that the presentation may be of poor quality.	The proposal is somewhat clear but suggests that the presentation may be of weak quality.	The proposal is clear and suggests that the presentation will be of good quality.	The proposal is clear and well-written, suggesting that the presentation will be of professional quality.	
	I do not recommend this session.	I might recommend this session.	I recommend this session.	I strongly recommend this session.	